

Superconductivity by rare earth doping in the 1038-type compounds $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{RE}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with $\text{RE} = \text{Y}, \text{La-Nd}, \text{Sm-Lu}$

Tobias Stürzer, Gerald Derondeau, Dirk Johrendt*

*Department Chemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstr. 5-13
(Haus D), 81377 München, Germany*

Abstract

We report superconductivity in polycrystalline samples of the 1038-type compounds $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{RE}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ up to $T_c = 35$ K with $\text{RE} = \text{Y}, \text{La} - \text{Nd}, \text{Sm}, \text{Gd} - \text{Lu}$. The critical temperatures are independent of the trivalent rare earth element used, yielding an universal $T_c(x_{\text{RE}})$ phase diagram for electron doping in all these systems. The absence of superconductivity in Eu^{2+} doped samples, as well as the close resemblance of $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{RE}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ to the 1048 compound substantiate that the electron doping scenario in the RE -1038 and 1048 phases is completely analogous to other iron-based superconductors with simpler crystal structures.

Keywords: superconductivity, iron pnictide, platinum, rare earth

PACS:

1. Introduction

Ever since the discovery of superconductivity in iron pnictides [1], electronic doping is known to be an effective method to suppress the antiferromagnetic ground state of these compounds and induce high- T_c superconductivity [2, 3, 4]. This was confirmed for many iron arsenides, examples are $\text{La}(\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x)\text{FeAs}$ [1, 5], $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$ [6] or $\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{La}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ [7]. Recently the family of iron arsenide superconductors was enriched by

*Corresponding author

Email address: johrendt@lmu.de (Dirk Johrendt)

the compounds $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ (1038, space group $P\bar{1}$) and polytypic $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_4\text{As}_8)$ (1048, space groups $P\bar{1}$, $P2_1/n$, $P4/n$) which have raised the chemical complexity [8, 9, 10]. This new class recently expanded by analogous compounds with iridium (Ir1048) [11] and palladium (Pd1038) [12] instead of platinum. The crystal structures of 1038 and 1048 compounds are closely related, and can be rationalized as alternating stacking of iron arsenide and platinum arsenide layers separated by calcium ions as depicted in Fig. 1. Platinum in the Pt_3As_8 or Pt_4As_8 layer, respectively, is nearly planar fourfold coordinated by arsenic, forming a twisted edge-sharing PtAs_4 tile-pattern that contains As_4^{2-} -Zintl anions. The 1038 structure reveals one systematic Pt vacancy according to $\text{Pt}_3\Box\text{As}_8$ (Fig. 1) which is filled in the 1048 structure yielding Pt_4As_8 layers.




Figure 1: Crystal structure of $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{RE}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$.

High critical temperatures up to 35 K were assigned to the 1048 compound, while Pt doping of the FeAs-layers in the 1038 phase induces superconductivity with critical temperatures below 15 K. Although superconductivity induced by Pt-doping is known from other iron arsenides, the very different critical temperatures of the 1038 and 1048 phases were matter of discussion. Based on DFT calculations we suggested intrinsic electron doping of the 1048-phase [8] caused by the electrons coming from the additional Pt^{2+}

according to $[(\text{Ca}^{+2}\text{Fe}^{+1.8}\text{As}^{-3})_{10}]^{8+}[(\text{Pt}_4^{+2}\text{As}_8^{-2})]^{8-} (+0.2 e^-/\text{Fe})$. In contrast, 1038 is a valence compound according to $[(\text{Ca}^{+2}\text{Fe}^{+2}\text{As}^{-3})_{10}]^{10+}[(\text{Pt}_3^{+2}\text{As}_8^{-2})]^{10-}$ and not superconducting. We have found that electron-doping of the 1038 phase is possible by lanthanum doping of the calcium site in $(\text{Ca}_{0.8}\text{La}_{0.2})_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with critical temperatures above 30 K [13]. The phase diagram of La-doped 1038 compounds is similar to those of the known iron arsenides [14]. Also the typical structural distortion of the 1038 parent compound $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ accompanied with magnetic ordering has recently been found [15, 16]. These results give clear evidence that electron doping of the FeAs layers in the 1038/1048 compounds can be realized either from the calcium layer or from the Pt_4As_8 layer. Hence, in spite of their structural complexity and low symmetry, these new superconductors turned out to be typical representatives of the iron arsenide family, with the 1038 phase as the magnetic non-superconducting parent compound [15].

A special structural feature arises in the 1038 structure due to the missing platinum in the $\text{Pt}_3\Box\text{As}_8$ layer. The calcium site just above and below the platinum vacancy is eightfold anti-prismatically coordinated by arsenic, while the other calcium positions are surrounded by seven arsenic atoms only (see Fig. 1). This particular calcium site reveals a distinct preference for *RE* atoms, but with a remarkable size tolerance. These perquisites render the 1038-phase an ideal system to probe the rare earth dependent response of the compound to different sized substitutes, electron-doping, and strong magnetic impurities in $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{RE}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with magnetic RE^{3+} ions.

In this letter we show that superconductivity in *RE* doped 1038 compounds can not only be induced by La-doping, [13, 14] but also by the complete series of trivalent *RE* ions in spite of their different size. The $T_c(x_{\text{RE}})$ phase diagrams of varying rare earth ions reveal a universal correlation of electron doping and critical temperature, but are independent of the type of *RE* element. Furthermore the absence of superconductivity in $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Eu}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ containing Eu^{2+} is in line with the above mentioned electron doping scenario. Finally the close similarity between 1048 and La-1038 are demonstrated by optimally doped La-1038 reaching the same T_c than 1048.

2. Experimental

Polycrystalline samples of rare earth calcium platinum iron-arsenides were synthesized as described in [13], and characterized by X-ray powder diffraction using the Rietveld method with TOPAS [17]. Compositions were determined within errors of 10% by refining occupation parameters and by X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). The X-ray powder pattern of $(\text{Ca}_{0.85}\text{La}_{0.15})_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ together with the Rietveld fit is shown in Fig. 2 as an example. Superconducting properties were determined using a *ac*-susceptometer at 1333 Hz in the temperature range of 3.5 to 300 K at a 3 Oe field. Magnetic susceptibility measurements of paramagnetic samples were performed on a Quantum Design MPMS XL5 SQUID magnetometer which allowed for measurements with fields up to 50 kOe at temperatures between 1.8 K and 300 K.




Figure 2: X-ray powder pattern (blue), Rietveld fit (red), and difference curve (grey) of $(\text{Ca}_{0.85}\text{La}_{0.15})_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$

3. Results and Discussion

Fig. 3 shows the *ac*-susceptibility data for $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{RE}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with $\text{RE} = \text{Y}, \text{La-Sm}, \text{and Gd-Lu}$ with nominal compositions $x = 0.1, 0.2$ for the early, and $x = 0.2$ for the late rare earth elements. Bulk superconductivity is detected in all samples. EDX measurements and Rietveld refinements confirmed the nominal composition of the early rare earth compounds La

– Sm, whereas the structural tolerance towards rare earth substitution decreases with decreasing radii of the late rare earth elements Gd – Lu. Fig. 4 depicts the maximum RE solubility in $(Ca_{1-x}RE_x)_{10}(FeAs)_8(Pt_3As_8)$. The red line marks the concentration level corresponding to a fully substituted eighthfold coordinated calcium position (20%). The gradual decrease of the superconducting volume fractions (Fig. 3) for the late RE elements (Gd–Lu) is caused by their limited solubility and increasing fractions of impurity phases.




Figure 3: ac susceptibility of the RE-1038 samples with $RE = \text{La-Sm}$ (top) and $RE = \text{Gd-Tm}$ (bottom)




Figure 4: Solubility of RE dopants in $(Ca_{1-x}RE_x)_{10}(FeAs)_{10}(Pt_3As_8)$ against the ionic radius. The vertical line at 1.26 Å marks the radius of Ca^{2+} .

Recent publications reported superconductivity in the La-doped compounds $(Ca_{1-x}La_x)_{10}(FeAs)_{10}(Pt_3As_8)$ with a maximum T_c of 30 K [13] and 26 K [14], respectively. This finding was reasoned with a substitution of La^{3+} preferably to the eightfold anti-prismatically coordinated Ca position in the structure, concomitant with electron doping to the FeAs-layer similar to $La(O_{1-x}F_x)FeAs$ [13]. A very similar doping scenario can be expected for the higher rare earth compounds, insofar the rare earth ions are trivalent.

Notably, the critical temperatures of the compounds containing La, Ce, Pr, Nd and Sm turned out to be independent of the rare earth used, whereas T_c drops significantly when the solubility limit restricts the RE concentration for the late rare earth metals. Taking this into account, neither the kind of rare earth element used, nor its magnetic properties or effects on the structure perceptibly influence the superconducting properties, but exclusively its electronic contribution, *i.e.* the electron transfer to the FeAs layers.

The critical temperatures of the $(Ca_{1-x}RE_x)_{10}(FeAs)_{10}(Pt_3As_8)$ samples and the determined RE concentrations are compiled in Fig. 5. At $x = 0.13$ a maximum of the critical temperature up to $T_c \approx 35$ K is gained for La, Ce and Pr substituted compounds, whereas corresponding samples with heavier rare earth elements were not available so far. This consideration yields a substituent independent, universal curve rendering the influence of electron doping in the system $(Ca_{1-x}RE_x)_{10}(FeAs)_{10}(Pt_3As_8)$ and featuring a maximum




Figure 5: Critical temperatures of $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{RE}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$

of T_c at $x = 0.13$. Small deviations in T_c may result from minor platinum substitution on the iron sites. The optimal electron doping level of $0.13e^-/\text{FeAs}$ is comparable to the 1111-superconductors $\text{La}(\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x)\text{FeAs}$ ($x = 0.11$) [1] and $\text{Sm}(\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x)\text{FeAs}$ ($x = 0.1$) [18]. The comparison with directly electron doped materials like $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$ appears not meaningful, since the extend of influence of chemical modification inside the FeAs-layer is still not fully understood.

It should be noted, that neglecting effects of size and magnetism of the rare earth elements on the superconducting properties appears oversimplified. One may expect at least an influence of strong magnetic moments present at the heavier elements like Holmium or Erbium affecting superconductivity. However, no suchlike was substantiated in our experiments. Even structural effects are minimal. Fig. 6 depicts the evolution of the unit cell axes and volume for the compounds $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{RE}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with $\text{RE} = \text{La}, \text{Ce}, \text{Pr}, \text{Nd}, \text{Sm}, \text{Eu}$ with constant rare earth concentration $x = 0.2$. For comparison the values of the parent compound $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ were added at the position corresponding to the ionic radius of Ca^{2+} . Within the measurement accuracy the in plane parameters a and b remain equal and both decrease gradually with decreasing rare earth radii. In the same direction the contraction of the stacking axis c increases more distinct, whereas all changes




Figure 6: Lattice parameters (a) and cell volumes (b) of $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{RE}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with $x = 0.2$

are well below 1%.

The europium compounds $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Eu}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ are special cases of the RE series due to the absence of superconductivity. The unit cell axis are significantly enlarged compared to the other RE (Fig. 6), and magnetic measurements show paramagnetic behaviour. Fig. 7 displays the inverse magnetic susceptibilities of $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Eu}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with $x = 0.1$ and $x = 0.2$ measured at different fields. Effective magnetic moments of $8.0 \mu_B$ per formula unit $(\text{Ca}_9\text{Eu})(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ and $(\text{Ca}_8\text{Eu}_2)(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ were extracted from the Curie-Weiss fits, which are in excellent agreement with the effective moment of $7.94 \mu_B$ expected for Eu^{2+} . Thus our data are suggest divalent europium in line with the enhanced unit cell volume displayed in Fig. 6. Given the presence of Eu^{2+} , the absence of superconductivity in $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Eu}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ can clearly be referred to missing of electron doping of the FeAs-layer.

Fig. 8 depicts the $T_c(x)$ phase diagram of $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{La}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with $x = 0.1 - 0.4$. Analogous diagrams were obtained with Ce and Pr doping (not shown). The preparation of homogeneous powder samples for $0 \leq x \leq 0.1$ were not successful so far due to phase separation into $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ and $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{La}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with $x \geq 0.1$. A similar phase diagram was reported recently [14] based on single crystal data, identifying the same optimal doping level, whereas the maximum T_c did not exceed 26 K due to considerable Pt mixing at the iron sites. $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{La}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$




Figure 7: Magnetic susceptibilities of $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Eu}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with $x = 0.1$ and $x = 0.2$

without Pt substitution features bulk superconductivity in the range investigated with a maximum T_c of 35 K for $x \approx 0.13$. Notably the critical temperature of 35 K coincides with T_c reported for the 1048 compound $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_4\text{As}_8)$, as well as the electron doping level which was estimated by DFT calculation to be approximately $0.15 e^-/\text{FeAs}$ for the 1048 phase [13]. This finding is fully consistent with the two different electron doping scenarios we suggested earlier, and emphasizes the close electronic relation between $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{La}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ and $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_4\text{As}_8)$ despite their different crystal structures.

4. Conclusion

In summary our results show that superconductivity in the 1038 phase does not only occur by substitution of lanthanum for calcium, but by the complete series of trivalent rare earth elements Y, La-Sm, and Gd-Lu with appropriate radius. Superconductivity arises in all compounds investigated and depends only on the RE concentration, but not on the type of RE . This gives proof for the electron donor function of the RE substitution. Other influences like lattice parameters and RE magnetism are minimal, and show no measurable effect on the superconducting critical temperatures. This finding yields an universal $T_c(x)$ phase diagram for $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}RE_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ with a maximum T_c of 35 K at $x = 0.13$ independent of the kind of rare




Figure 8: $T_c(x)$ phase diagram of $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{La}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$

earth. Thereby the optimal doping level of $x = 0.13e^-/\text{FeAs}$ nearly coincides with known indirectly electron doped iron arsenides $\text{La}(\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x)\text{FeAs}$ ($x = 0.11$) [1] and $\text{Sm}(\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x)\text{FeAs}$ ($x = 0.1$) [18]. The absence of superconductivity in $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Eu}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ is rationalized with divalent Eu^{2+} present in the structure and the absence of electron doping. Finally the close resemblance of electron doped $(\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{RE}_x)_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_3\text{As}_8)$ and the 1048-phase $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{FeAs})_{10}(\text{Pt}_4\text{As}_8)$ was demonstrated by featuring same $T_c^{\text{max}} \approx 35$ K coinciding at the same optimal doping level.

5. Acknowledgement

This work was financially supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the priority program SPP 1458.

References

References

- [1] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, H. Hosono, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 3296–3297.
- [2] D. C. Johnston, Adv. Phys. 59 (2010) 803–1061.
- [3] G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83 (2011) 1589–1652.

- [4] D. Johrendt, *J. Mater. Chem.* 21 (2011) 13726–13736.
- [5] H. Takahashi, K. Igawa, K. Arii, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, H. Hosono, *Nature* 453 (2008) 376–378.
- [6] A. S. Sefat, R. Jin, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, D. J. Singh, D. Mandrus, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101 (2008) 117004–4.
- [7] Y. Muraba, S. Matsuishi, S.-W. Kim, T. Atou, O. Fukunaga, H. Hosono, *Phys. Rev. B* 82 (2010) 180512.
- [8] C. Löhnert, T. Stürzer, M. Tegel, R. Frankovsky, G. Friederichs, D. Johrendt, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 50 (2011) 9195–9199.
- [9] N. Ni, J. M. Allred, B. C. Chan, R. J. Cava, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108 (2011) E1019–E1026.
- [10] S. Kakiya, K. Kudo, Y. Nishikubo, K. Oku, E. Nishibori, H. Sawa, T. Yamamoto, T. Nozaka, M. Nohara, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 80 (2011) 093704.
- [11] K. Kudo, D. Mitsuoka, M. Takasuga, Y. Sugiyama, K. Sugawara, N. Katayama, H. Sawa, H. S. Kubo, K. Takamori, M. Ichioka, T. Fujii, T. Mizokawa, M. Nohara, *Sci. Rep.* 3 (2013) 3101.
- [12] C. Hieke, J. Lippmann, T. Stürzer, G. Friederichs, F. Nitsche, F. Winter, R. Pöttgen, D. Johrendt, *Philos. Mag.* 93 (2013) 3680–3689.
- [13] T. Stürzer, G. Derondeau, D. Johrendt, *Phys. Rev. B* 86 (2012) 060516(R).
- [14] N. Ni, W. E. Straszheim, D. J. Williams, M. A. Tanatar, R. Prozorov, E. D. Bauer, F. Ronning, J. D. Thompson, R. J. Cava, *Phys. Rev. B* 87 (2013) 060507.
- [15] T. Stürzer, G. M. Friederichs, H. Luetkens, A. Amato, H. H. Klauss, D. Johrendt, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 25 (2013) 122203.
- [16] T. Zhou, G. Koutroulakis, J. Lodico, N. Ni, J. D. Thompson, R. J. Cava, S. E. Brown, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 25 (2013) 122201.

- [17] A. Coelho, TOPAS-Academic, Version 4.1, Coelho Software, Brisbane, 2007.
- [18] Z.-A. Ren, W. Lu, J. Yang, W. Yi, X.-L. Shen, Z.-C. Li, G.-C. Che, X.-L. Dong, L.-L. Sun, F. Zhou, Z.-X. Zhao, Chin. Phys. Lett. 25 (2008) 2215.